UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,146	11/05/2001	Hisayoshi Ito	213630US3XPCT	2530
	7590 01/10/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	REET	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1761		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/926,146	ITO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	T
		Arthur L. Corbin	1761	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
WHIO - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	ctober 2006		
2a)□	<u></u>	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		e prosecution as to th	no morite ie
ا ر	closed in accordance with the practice under E	=	• •	ie ments is
		in parte Quayle, 1000 O.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13 and 16-31</u> is/are pending in t	the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.)·		
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13 and 16-31</u> are subject to resi	triction and/or election requi	rement.	
	ion Papers	•		
	•	•		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2001</u> is/a		· ·	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form F	'TO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stage
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· ·
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
		·		·
Attachmen	it(s)			
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	/lail Date	
3) 🔲 Infon Page	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application	
, ape	. 110(5)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3, 9, 16, 18, 20-22 and 26-28, drawn to a tank for storing a yeast slurry, classified in class 435, subclass 289.1.
 - II. Claims 4-7, 10-13, 17, 19, 23-25 and 29-31, drawn to a method of manufacturing beer, classified in class 426, subclass 62.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, e.g. a process of preparing bread or cheese.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

1-5-07